11.	नार्थ मद्रास (2+210) एवं, (1+210) तमिलनाडु	विश्व बैंक	150 मि० अमरीकी डालर
12.	बजबज (2+250) प. बंगाल	एडीबी/आईएफसी/ सीडीसी/सप्लायर्स क्रेडिट	182 मि० अमरीकी डालर
13.	चन्द्रपुर–7 (1+500) महाराष्ट्र	विश्व बैंक	223 मि० अमरीकी डालर
14.	दहानु (2+250) बिहार	विश्व बैंक	268 मि० अमरीकी डालर
15.	ट्राम्बे सीसीटीपीपी (180) महाराष्ट्र	आईबीआरडी सीएचएफ	क 158 (अमरीकी डालर ख (17 स्वीस क्रेडिट) ग 15 डीएम
16.	नेशनल कैप एचरण–1 (4+210) उत्तर प्रदेश	विश्व बैंक	373 मि० अमरीकी डालर
17.	फरक्का चरण–2 (2+500) प. बंगाल	विश्व बैंक	238 मि० अमरीकी डालर 50.59 डीएम (जर्मनी) 159.93 डीएम (इटली)
18.	तलचेर चरण–1 (2+500) उड़ीसा	विश्व बैंक	367 अमरीकी डालर 536.34 एफ एफ

Proposals from private enterpreneurs wi h CEA

2199. SHRI BALBIR SINGH: Will the Minster of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) the names of the new power stations for which project reports were received in the Central Electricity Authority from private entrepreneurs during the last two years;

(b) the project reports cleared by the Central Electricity Authority for implementation without stipulating any conditions; and

(c) the precise nature of the principal stipulations made by the Central Electricity Authority in each case?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) The names of 23 private power projects for which the project reports have been received in the Central Electricity Authority during the last two years are given in the enclosed statement-I (*See* below).

(b) Six private power projects have been cleared during the last two years by the Central Electricity Authority subject to certain conditions.

(c) The details of conditions stipulated by CEA while according their clearance to the six private power projects is given in the enclosed statement-II.

Statement I

Sl. No.	Name of the Project	Promoters
1.	Maheshwar H.E. Project (10×40 MW)– Madhya Pradesh	M/s. S. Kumars Limited.
2.	Baspa M. H.E. Project (3×100) MW– Himachal Pradesh	M/s. Jai Prakash Ind., Ltd.
3.	Ghanvi H.E. Project (3×7.5MW)– Himachal Pradesh	M/s. Punjab Power Generation Machines Ltd.
4.	Vishnuprayag H.E. Project (4×100MW)– Uttar Pradesh	M/s. Jai Prakash Indus. Limited
5.	Dabhol GTCC TPS (2015MW) Maharashtra	M/s. Dabhol Power Co. (M/s.Enron Development Corpn').
6.	Gandhar (Paguthan) CCGT (655 MW)– Gujarat.	M/s. Gujarat Torrent Energy Corpn.
7.	Pench TPS (2×210 MW)– Madhya Pradesh	M/s. Century Power
8.	Jegurupadu GTCC TPS (216 MW)– Andhra Pradesh	M/s. GVK Industries, Hyderabad.
9.	Godavari GTCC TPS (208 MW)–Andhra Pradesh	M/s. Spectrum Power Generation Ltd.
10.	Chittorgarh TPS-1 ×500 MW –Rajasthan.	M/s. Century Textiles & Indus. Ltd.
11.	Duburi TPS 2×250 MW—Orissa. . .	M/s. Kalinga Power Corpn. Ltd.
12	Ib-Valley TPS Units 3&4 2×210 MW –Orissa	M/'s Ib Valley Power Ltd. (A joint venture between AES Transpower & OPGCL).
13.	Jojobera TPS 3×67.5 MW –Bihar. . .	M/s. Jamshedpur Power Co. Ltd.
14.	Gouripore TPS 2×67.5 MW –West Bengal .	WBSEB & M/s. Birla Technical Services Ltd.
15.	Balagarh TPS Units 1 & 2 2×250 MW– West Bengal	M/s. CESC Ltd.
16.	Kharsang Gas Engine based TPS-110 MW – Arunachal Pradesh	M/s. Intrecorp. Industries Ltd.
17.	Barge Mounted TPS (110 MW)- Maharashtra	M/s. Confidence Shipping Co. Ltd.
18.	Khaperkhada TPS Units 5 & 6 2×210 MW– Maharashtra	M/s. Aranco Line Shipping Co. Ltd.
19.	Mangrol (Lignite) TPS 1×250 MW- Gujarat	M/s. Gujarat Power Corpn. Ltd.
20	Hazira GTCC TPS 500 MW- Gujarat . .	M/s. Lasar Gujarat Ltd.
21.	Korba (West) TPS Units 5 & 6 2×210 MW– Madhya Pradesh	M/s. India Thermal Power Ltd. promoted by M/s. Mukund Ltd.
22.	Cuddalore TPS 2×250 MW- Tamil Nadu .	M/s. Cuddalore Power Co.
23.	Neyveli (Zero Unit) TPS 1×250 MW- Tamil Nadu	M/s. ST Power Systems Inc. USA.

Statement II

I. Maheshwar H.E. Project of Madhya Pradesh

The project was cleared subject to the following conditions

1. Clearance of the project from environmental angle.

2. Satisfactory statement of the objections raised by the Public Health Engineering Department of M.P. State Government.

3. Final adjustment of cost of civil works which may be indicated by CWC

4. Necessary coordination in the execution of Maheshwar Project with Omkareshwar (8x65 MW) so that construction of upstream project is not affected by the execution of Maheshwar Project.

5. All regulatory measures existing and those to be introduced subsequently, would be applicable in this case.

6. Submission of financial package and firmed up cost of the project for concurrence of CEA.

7. Satisfactory settlement of Power Purchase Agreement.

8. Clearance of R.C.E. of Transmission system.

II. *Dabhol GTCC of Maharashtya*

The project was cleared subject to the following stipulations:

1. State Govt's approval to M|s DPC to establish operate and maintain the power plant.

2. Clearance of MOE and F for power plant and harbour/port

3. Clearance of port authorities for construction of the harbour/port.

4. Clearance of NAA for stack height of 98 m.

5. Clearance of CWC for water availability.

6. Before starting Phase-II of the project. Maharashtra Govt. MSEB will ensure that the entire power from the project including off peak surpluses will be absorbed within the Maharashtra system or if necessary by entering into agreements with entities outside Maharashtra.

7. MSEB will ensure completion of associated transmission system matching with the commissioning schedule of the project.

III. *Gandhar (Paguthan) CCGT of Gujarat*

The project was cleared on 12-11-93 subject to review after financial closure and furnishing firm financial package within six months.

IV. *Pench TPS of Madhya Pradesh*

The project was apprised on 16-11-93 and found acceptable from technical aspect. Techno-economic clearance is to be considered on submission of firm financial package within six months.

V. *Jegurupadu GTCC TPS of Andhra Pradesh*

The project was cleared on 12.11.93 subject to review after financial closure and furnishing firm financial package within six months and obtaining necessary exemption from Ministry of Power from deviations from GOI tariff notification dated 30-9-92.

VI. *Godavari GTCC of Andhra Pradesh*

The project was cleared on 14-12-93 subject to review after financial closure and furnishing firm financial package within 6 months and also subject to the promoters obtaining exemption from Ministry of Power for deviations from GOI tariff notification dated 30.3.92 and obtaining permission from State Irrigation Authorities for drawal of water during the two months prior to canal closure. CEA also stipulated a condition that the cost of the spares capitalised should not exceed 3 per cent of the capital cost.